

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,117	11/04/2003	Filip Pesek	Elipse	5113
22925 7	590 10/06/2005		EXAM	INER
	CUTICAL PATENT A	OSELE, MARK A		
4TH FLOOR	55 MADISON AVENUE 4TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MORRISTOW	MORRISTOWN, NJ 07960-7397			
	•			

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Server	10/700,117	PESEK, FILIP				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark A. Osele	1734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 10032005				

Application/Control Number: 10/700,117 Page 2

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Djuric. Djuric shows a hot air blower comprising a blower shaft, 11, an air channel 12, 15, with an intake end and an exhaust end, a compress, 27, connected to the exhaust end, and a rotatably mounted cylindrical roller, 19, mounted on the compress (See abstract).
- 3. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cercone. Cercone shows a hot air blower comprising a blower shaft, 8, an air channel 18, with an intake end and an exhaust end, and a compress connected to the exhaust end, wherein the compress comprises a flange, 21, 24, 26 (See Figs. 1, 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,117 Page 3

Art Unit: 1734

5. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cercone in view of Landry. Landry teaches that an attachment to a hair dryer can include a rotatably mounted roller, 26, in front of the exhaust end, 14b, of an air channel, 14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a rotatable roller to the flange of Cercone because Landry teaches that a rotatable brush can grasp and loop short air in the hot air stream.

6. Claims 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cercone in view of Landry as applied to claims 2-3 above, and further in view of Stevenson. Stevenson teaches that it is known to apply wallpaper to a wall using a heating tool to iron the wallpaper and activate adhesive (column 8, lines 20-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the apparatus of the references as combined as a heating tool to iron wallpaper because Stevenson teaches the need for such a tool to activate adhesive on wallpaper. Furthermore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add wallpaper adhesive to a kit for applying wallpaper because not all wallpaper is adhesively backed.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Smith, which is not prior art against the instant application, shows a kit for applying wallpaper which includes an air channel for a hot air blower. Fischer et

Application/Control Number: 10/700,117 Page 4

Art Unit: 1734

al. discloses heat activating adhesives on wallpaper. Bagnuolo and Wilson show air channels for hot air blowers to be used to apply heat to a wall. Diamon, Feldman, and Scivoletto show various air channel attachments for hot air blowers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Osele whose telephone number is 571-272-1235. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Fiorilla can be reached on 571-272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARK A. OSELE PRIMARY EXAMINER

October 3, 2005